App. F. IX-4

Previous References
Law Dept 123-30

File No. 65-30
Serial Nos. 1 & 2

Later References

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. Do.

Part B for August 1931.

SUBJECT.

Re. Conduct of certain cases in the Additional Sessions Court entrusted to Mr. A. Sriniwasamurthy Advocate, Bangalore

Now that an additional District Court is set up at Bangalore to dispose of cases transfered from the District Court, the P.P. Bangalore with whom is also as Govt pleader entrusted with the Civil work on behalf of Govt ~~also~~ may find it difficult to attend to the work in the addl Court especially when he is otherwise engaged in Conducting Sessions Cases before the Sessions Judge, outside Bangalore -

If approved the P.P. will be requested to report as to how many & what cases of Govt are transfered to the addl Court and what arrangements he has made for their proper conduct

Legal R.T

30/10

Yr. 30/10

Issue the draft proceedings.

30/10

Letter No 171/30-31 from the DDR Bangalore Enquiry upon list of cases transfered to the Addl Court intimating that his junior would take care of them —

Four original ~~cases are posted for evidence in Nov~~ Suits, ~~3~~ and 3 miscellaneous Cases are posted in the Addl Dt Ct for evidence during the month of Nov. and among Cases for arguments are 2 miscellaneous cases, one regular appeal and 10 Criminal appeals -

The PPR, states in his letter that Mr. S. R. Murdeswar, his assistant would conduct these cases in his absence Mr Murdeswar appears to be working in Mr. Vijaya Deva's office as his junior since January 1930. Though it is said that he has 22 years experience as a High Court pleader Mr Vijaya Deva says that this gentleman is instructed fully from the very early stage of the Cases and that he is satisfied about their proper conduct at his hands during his absence

on account of private affairs or diseases or other sufficient ~~cause~~, he may with the Consent of the Dt magte & the District Jus Concerned make Satisfactory arrangements without entailing additional Cost to Govt In other Cases, Govt Sanction is necessary to the arrangements proposed

The Additional District Court being a Court appointed for a year to relieve congestion in the Dt Court the arrangement that has to be made for the Conduct of Civil & Cr: Cases therein on behalf of Govt is one which requires Govt Sanction It seems better to entrust the cases to an advocate of standing there who can be held directly responsible to Govt than to depend upon

As regards Criminal appeals in Sessions Court, the Pr cannot claim any extra remuneration since their conduct falls under ~~this~~ the scope of his ordinary duties - In important appeals, such as the one filed by M. T. Bhashyam or by the Vishwakarnataka Editor, separate arrangements have already been made & the appeals ~~&~~ mentioned in the list sent by the Pr, ~~do not~~ appear to be ordinary ones which may be kept be left to be attended to by ~~him~~ the Pr alone; since otherwise some extra cost would be involved.

Submitted for orders.

Regd R[r]

DOP
7.11.

The Pub Prosr had given no addition to this work, and has to be forced by Rennr to his report

I am not satisfied about Competency of his new junio in Bombay side to hand c important cases ~~are~~ to a ~~&~~ & necessary to appoint good advocate for these c answers as for future case transfd by ~~which~~ to the Mysore Court.

The cases ~~are~~ to ~~at~~ B ~~m~~ who dealt with by the

2nd Member)

Mad advocate in

Three of the Civil cases proposed to be entrusted to some other advocate come off on the 11th inst. I learn by phone that the District Judge will be engaged in Lokayukta on that day so that the PPr Civil will not be able to attend to these Civil Cases in the Addl Court & appears therefore necessary to ~~a~~ fix up the Advocate & intimate to him today alone, so that he may be prepared to get on with the cases on 11th inst.

Legal 8/11

~~Legal's orders~~ On submission in person the Legal Rr ordered issue of D.O Communication to Smt. H. Srinivasa murthi Advocate, Bangalore intimating him that Govt wish to entrust ~~the~~ Civil Cases transfered to the Addl Court & asking him to get the records etc from the PPr so as to be ready to go on with the cases on the dates fixed for hearing -

Issued D.O No: 479/p dated 8.11.80 to Smt H. Srinivasa murthi Advocate Bangalore

No: 480/p Copy to the Public Prosecutor,

File No. 65 of 1930 | General and Revenu Secretariat

Draft G.O is put up for favour of approval

Legal Sr

10/11

may be appd

D. 10/11

2nd Member

be issued

D. 10/11

G.O No: P2643-52/Law 65-30-2 dated 11.11.30. issued

Letter from Mr H.S. Murti dated 12/11 forwarding S Vaidalis for Signature of Chief Secy.

for favour of compliance

Chief Secy.

12/11

RG

Letter No. 177/30-31 d. 11.11.30. from the PPr Bangalore stating that he has handed over all the records to Mr. H.S.M. Connected with the Case that are transfered to the Addl Dist-Ch and soliciting written Chars for the Cond.n.o. of O.S. 20/27-28 which is also transfered to the Addl Ch

The PPr States that O.S. 20/27-28 on the file of the Dist. Judge has also been transferred to the Addl Dist. Ch This Case also now have to be entrusted to Mr H.S.M accordin to the G.O. passed recently

Dpa to the PPr. Put up

TSD
13/4

Please put up the file relating to O.S. 20 of 27-28. referred to Put up.

BNJ 13/4

TSD
15/4

Jt draft please — today

DNO

File No. of 195

General and Revenue Secretariat

To

The PPr. B'lore

No. P. 2836 d 18.11.30 refering to G.O. No. 2643 d 11th Nov 1930 according to which the further Conduct of O.S. 20/29-28 has to be entrusted to Mr. H.S.M., to whom the entire record of the Case may be handed over.

Copies to Mr. H.S.M & D.C. B'lore.

Sus B.

TSD 29/11

DOF.

Letter no. ~~nil~~ nil dated 2nd Dec 1930 from Mr. H.S. Murthy soliciting instructions as to the disposal of files relating to suits decided by the Addl Dist. Judge. B'lore

The files relating to the Suits decided by the Addl Dist. Judge may be returned to the PPr. B'lore, and a report sent to the information of the Govt

TSD 4/12

In what cases does this question arise? Has any report been received of any case being disposed of.

DOF 4/12

Yes; A report has been received from Mr. H.S.M. stating that Mis. No. 8/28-29 on the file of the Addl Dist. Judge B'lore relating to the acquisition of Survey No 6 was dismissed with Costs.

TSD 5/12

Letter no nil dated 2nd Dec 1930 from Mr. H.S.M. informing that Mis. 8/28-29 on the file of the Addl Dist. Judge B'lore was dismissed with Costs on 25.11.30

Letter dated 2nd Dec 1930 from Mr. H.S.M. informing that R.A. 354/29-30 (Nilgiri Sanjeevaiah vs. D.P.I.) was argued on 1st inst and has been fixed to 12th inst

For perusal

TSD 9/12

Mr. Srinivasamurthi has to obtain

certified copies of the final orders & decrees passed in the cases disposed of and send them over to this office along with his bills for sanction

The records of such cases may also be sent with the bills so that this office may have sufficient materials to come to a decision as to the amount of fee allowable in each case -

If appd., Mr. H.S. Mehta will be informed accordingly

Legal R^r

9/12

Pl. Mr. 12/12

Dft. to Mr. H.S.M. put up accordingly for approval

VSD 13/12

Issue as revised 17/12

To

Mr. H. S. Mehta, Advocate

No. P. 3423 dated 15.12.30 informing that Certified Copies of the finals orders and decrees passed in the Cases disposed of have to be obtained and submitted along with the bill of fees

Mr TSD

I learn that Mr

H.S. Mehta has written

that he is unable to

appear in O.S. 3 of 28.29

for post, since he had

appeared for Chinnaiyya

in the Cobb. case - If received

please put up

DMP

No reply has been

received by Mr. H.P.M. 17.1

TSD 19/1 A writ-bill

Mr. H.P.M. has 22nd inst

not replied DMP 18/1

TSD 20/1

Letter no 2446/30-31 dated 13th Dec 1930 from the PPr B'lore informing that OS 3/20-29 and OS 10/21-29 were transferred to the Addl Dist Ct and posted to 16.12.30 and 18.12.30 respectively; and that the Connected records have been handed over to Mr H.S.M.

The file relating to the transfer of Cases to the Addl Dist Ct is under issue in Connection with a reply to Mr H.S.M re: disposal of files relating to the Suits decided

Since the records have already been handed over to Mr H.S.M. he will appear on the respective Hg dates Dft to Mr H.S.M is also put up.

TSD
15/12

Today please
Issue & returnable
DDP
15/12

To
Sri H.S. Murthi
~~The PPr~~ B'lore

No. P 34126 dt 15.12.30 requesting him to appear for Govt in OS 3/1929 & O.S. 10/1929 and to intimate the Progress in each Case in due Course.

The files re the Cases referred to above are kept up.

TSD
17/12

To

Mr. H. Seemtaramiah

No. P. 3617 dt 27.12.30 requesting to report the Progress of the Cases O.S. 3/28-29 & O.S. 10/27-28 on the file of the Addl Dist. Ct Bangalore, and requiring to send the necessary vakalats to be filed in the Cases for the signature of the Ch. Secy?

Letter No Nil dated 3rd Jany 1930 from Mr. H.S. Mustery forwarding a report as to the Progress made in the various Cases entrusted to him together with a bill for Sanction, Showing the amounts of Expenditure to be incurred in each

Ge

and Judgment in Cesses. Cess and Solicitation of Govt for the same.

2 dys are prayed.

ISD
5/1

On account of the heavy sessions work in the Bangalore Division it was found that the PPx, Bangalore could not attend to the port cases transfered to the Additional Dt Ct Bangalore for disposal. Consequently, their further conduct was entrusted to Smt. V. Srinivasa Murthi as per G.O of 11th Nov 1930

It is now stated by Mr. K.S. Murty in the P.U.D that in one of the cases viz O.S. 3 of 28.29 recently transfered to the Addl Ct, he is precluded from appearing for port since he had been briefed in some other connected cases for the plaintiff

~~extended~~ in the Addl Dt court.

The other point raised in the P.V.D about the ~~expenses~~ money required for incidental expenses, will be worked out & submitted ~~for~~ after orders are passed regarding the conduct of O.S.3 of 28.29 ~~named~~ noted above

– Chief Secy)

DMC
6/1/31

CHIEF SECRETARY'S
6 JAN. 1931

The cases may be entrusted to P. Pr

MCM
6/1/31

Subd with a ~~typed~~ dft to Mr. H.S. Murthy

TSD
7/1

For approval

Chief Secy.

DMC
7.1

MCM
7/1

Issue
DMC
8/1

T-0

Mr. H. S. Murthy, Advocate

M.P. 4015 dt 9-1-31 informing that the further conduct of the Case may be kept in the hands of the Pr. B'lore, and the connected records be handed over to him for necessary action.

Mr. H.S. Murthy has also forwarded a bill of approximate Cost for Rs. 14/- for obtaining necessary Copies of depositions and judgments in certain Cases. Solicits sanction for the same. Dft G.O. sanctioning already put up may perhaps be approved.

ISD 10/4

Under rule 130 of the Legal Affairs rule, it is the department Concerned that has to meet such expenses out of their budget grants. Mr. Srinivasamurti may therefore get the necessary advances for the incidental expenses from the officers Concerned who are under the G.O. of 11th Nov 1930 instructing him in the Conduct of the Cases entrusted to him. Draft reply is put up for orders.

Chief Secy BNR 10/1

Rao 13/1

May this issue?

ISD 15/1 Yes.

BNR 15/1

To

Mr. H. Srinivasamurthy

No. P. 4257 dated 16th Jan 1931 informing that the officers concerned under the G.O. may be addressed in the matter, and the necessary amounts required for expenses may be obtained from them as an advance subject to proper accounts being furnished after the disposal of the Suits Cases.

WD 02553--50,000—GPB—8-7 30

File No. of 193

General and Revenue Secretariat

Letter No. 511/30-31 from the Addl. Dy. Comr. Bellary intimating that the Case has been adjourned to 15th June 1931 for further evidence.

One witness has been examined by the plaintiff and he was cross examined by the Advr. Some progress. Case is to be awaited for perusal.

ISD
6/6

This file relates to Cases entrusted to Mr. H.S. Murti - # separate file may be opened with the papers of O.S. 3 of 29 & the Letter

Letter dated 10th June 1931 from Mr Murthy submitting the progress report of revisal Cases entrusted to him with Copies of judgment and decree.

Copy of judgment & decree in OS.6/1923 is not received — what is the result of his SA/29-30 Dft Please

Sd) H.H.J.
10/6.

Dft to Mr. H.S. Murthy is pursued.

ISD
11/6

Issue & resubmit.

DSP 11/6

Issued vide P. 7847 dt 17.6.31

for perusal after issue

ISD
18/6

further reports are received in today's tappal - They may also be perused.

18/6

Letter dated 17th June 1931 from Mr. H.S. Murthy forwarding for sanction 6 bills duly countersigned by the Addl Dist. Judge Bangalore

Mr H.S. Murthy has submitted a list of Cases that have been disposed of and those that are still pending. (vide flag W) Bills for the various Cases disposed of have also been

Subd. for sanction along with the Copies of Judgt.
Remuneration Claimed exceed the fee
allowed in Some of the Cases

Case	Remun. according to the bill Subd.	Lawyer's fee allowed in the decree.
Mis 20/27-28	Rs 50	7-0-0.
Mis 37/29-30.	50	Respondent is asked to pay Rs 7 as costs
R.A. 35/29-30	72-00	71-12-0
O.S. 6/29-30	50-00	7-0-0
Mis 8/29-30.	335-00	342-0-0
Mis 81/25-26	64-00	

For personal orders

ISD
19/6.

Subd. with a dft to the PPr. Bangalore
requesting him to re-submit his bills
for appln.

ISD
24/6

According to the PPr's letter No. 142
dated 11.12.1930, no bill was subd. for
services rendered in O.S. 6/29-30 (Gundabhatla's Case)
Mr. H.S. Murthy's bill in Connection with this
Case will be worked up and subd. separately,
if approved. The other bills will have
to await the reply from the PPr.
Dft to the PPr. Blore is subd. for
appln. orders

ISD
26/6

Please put up
clear draft filing
up the blanks:
DRM 27/6

Fair dft sent?

TSD 21/6 Issue

DOL 26/6

Issued no. P. 10 dated 1.7.31.

Xerox copy in O.S.6 was received with letter of 17th June & has been placed the concerned file - alongwith the title

R^y as to Mis 88 29/30 is being sent in Home file 108 of 29 -

Reply appr to P.10/1.7.31 & in file relating to O.S.20 (Vellodi's Case) alongwith the respective bills -

A. G

Please work up the four remaining bills of mr H.S. Pandya - in separate file along with bills of mr Vajadevaya, if any received I think in his reply to our letter of 1-7-30, he has sent in bills only in 2 cases viz O.S.20 and Mis 81.

DMP
30.7.31

The Public Prosecutor

Bangalore

Sir,

I am directed to enquire how many and what cases — both Civil & Criminal — in which ~~Govt~~ You appear on behalf of Government or its officers have been transfered to the Additional District Court and what arrangements you have made or intend to make for their proper conduct on the dates to which they are posted, especially ~~during~~ if on those days you are otherwise engaged in Sessions work

The matter may be treated as Immediate & a report sent by return post —

I have etc

By

For Legal Rr

The Public Prosecutor,
B a n g a l o r e.

I am directed to enquire how many and what cases both Civil and Criminal- in which you appear on behalf of Government or its officers, have been transferred to the Additional District Court and what arrangements you have made or intend to make for their proper conduct on the dates to which they are posted, especially if on those days you are otherwise engaged in Sessions work.

The matter may be treated as Immediate and a report sent by return post.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

For Legal Remembrancer and
Secy. to Govt.Law Deptt.

TSD

Legal Remem
- brancee to the
fort of Mysor

In reply to P 2386/11 dated
/30 & No P 2574 Law of 6/11/30
I have the honour to subm
herewith a List of Cases trans
ferred to the Additional Distric

High Court Pleader of
years standing He has been
with me since last January
and is fully instructed from
the very early stage of the
cases I am satisfied about
the proper conduct

nage. by the Additional District Judge

Defendant.	Remarks	Date of hearing
Chief Secretary (Muzarai department)	part heard. posted to for evidence	
1 Swami of Avani Mutt 2. Chief Secretary (Muzarai department)	For evidence on 18/11/1	
Chief Secretary another. (Revenue department)	For evidence	11/11/20
Chief Secretary 2 others (Accounts department)	For evidence	12/11/21

List of Cases transferred from Dist

Name of the case	No. of the case	Plaintiff
it for declaration of the ership of the chatram at Hugavala Hosakote Taluk -	OS 2 / 27-28	Rajanna al Anantharam
it for recovery of Rs 4658 e on a registered ~~hypothecation~~ executed by the late Swamii of Avani Mutt	OS 9 / 28-29	Ramaguruswamy
for declaration ~~that the~~ ~~Courier~~ship to S.No: 60 diff is the absolute owner navada village Mapadi ~~and absolute land~~	OS 6 / 29-30	Gundabhatt

Dear Mr. Srinivasa Murty,

The Civil Cases noted in the accompanying list in which Government is a party, have been transfered for disposal to the Additional District Court Bangalore. Since the Public Prosecutor, Mr. Vijaya Deva would be engaged with the Sessions work xxne would not not be able to attend to the Government work in the Additional Court. Government , therefore, wish to - entrust these cases to you, xx Please get the necessary records from the Public Prosecutor and be prepared to go on with the cases on the dates fixed for hearing. Formal Orders will be issued on Monday.

Yours sincerely,

SD P.M

H.Srinivasa Murty, Esq., B.A.,B.L.,
Advocate, Bangalore.

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 192

Date of issue 1/9

Signed by H.N.S. Iyengar

May this be

i Printed?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette, English Part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

Sec Law

File No. 65-2

Serial No. 2

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read –

Letter No: 171 dated 7th Novr 1930 from the Public Prosecutor, Bangalore intimating that the Civil Cases shown in the accompanying list in which he appears on behalf of Government or its officers, have been transfered to the Additional District Court, Bangalore, for disposal.

Order Thereon No. P 2643-52

Government understand that on account of his being engaged in the heavy sessions work of his Division, the Public Prosecutor, Bangalore, has often to be absent from Head quarters and would be unable to attend to the Government Cases in the Additional District

Court. Government are therefore pleased to direct that the further Conduct of the Civil cases mentioned in the list accompanying the letter read above and of such other cases as may hereafter be transfered to the Additional District Court, be entrusted to me.

A. Srinivasa Murthy, Advocate Bangalore.

2. The Public Prosecutor, is requested to hand over charge to me. Srinivasa murthi all the available records with him pertaining to the cases mentioned above. He is also requested to report to the Legal Remembrancer to Government, without delay, as soon as any Civil case which he is appearing for Government is hereafter

Letter No. 171 dated 7th November [illegible] from the Public Prosecutor, Bangalore, intimating that the Civil cases shown in the accompanying list in which he appears on behalf of Government or its officers, have been transfered to the Additional District Court, Bangalore, for disposal.

Letter No. 194 dated 4th Nov. 1944 from the

Field Accountant, Bangalore, submitting three [illegible]

... in the accompanying list in which he applies on behalf of

Lov.Tmmnt. of the officers who have been fined to the Additional

District Court. Bangalore, for disposal.

To

The Public Prosecutor, Bangalore.

Mr.H. Srinivasa Murti, Advocate, Bangalore.

The Comptroller to Government.

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

The Director of Public Instruction.

The Superintendent of Gardens.

The Deputy Commissioners of Bangalore, Kolar, Tumkur.

The Deputy Secretaries [illegible]

The Undersecretary of [illegible]

The Director of Public [illegible]

Mrs. Roxane [illegible]

The Liaison Commissioner [illegible]

Mrs. Rose [illegible] Assistant

[illegible], Assistant Advocate, Bedford

The Public Prosecutor, Bedford

List of cases transferred from District Judge to the Additional District Judge.

Sl No.	Nature of the case	No.of the case.	Plaintiff	Defendant	Date of hearing.
	Suit for ~~xxx~~ declaration of ownership of the chattram at Mugavala, Hoskote Taluk.	Os 2 27-28	Rajanna alias Anantharamiah.	Chief Secretary (Muzrai Department)	Part heard. Posted to 19-11-30 for evidence.
2.	Suit for recovery of Rs. 4658 due on a registered hypothication bond executed by the late Swami of Avani Mutt.	Os 9 28-29	Ramagurumurthiah	1. Swami of Avani Mutt 2. Chief Secretary (Muzrai Department)	For evidence on 18-11-30
3.	Suit for declaration of ownership to S.No. 60 of Banavada village Magadi Taluk.	s 6 9-30	Gundabnatta	Chief Secretary and another (Revenue Deptt).	For evidence on 11-11-1930.
4.	Suit for declaration of ownership of certain Government seven per cent loan bonds.	Os 22 29-30	Vishnu Narayana [illegible]kle.	Chief Secretary and two others (Accounts Department)	For evidence on 12-11-30
5.	For permission to sue as a pauper for compensation on account of death of his son Ramalinga by electrocution.	Mss 88 29-30	Kempiah	Chief Secretary (Electrical Deptt)	For evidence 11-12-30
6.	For winding up of Agricultural Bank.	Mis 81 25-26	Subbanahalli Agricultural Bank.	Deputy Commissioner Kolar	For arguments re. whether petition is maintainable 17-11-30.
7.	Land Acquisition cases.	Mis 8 28-29	Narasimhiah	Land [illegible]isition Officer Bangalore.	For evidence 25-11-30

Bm' 12/1/30

To

The Legal Remembrancer and Secretary to Government
Law Department,
BANGALORE.

Sir,

With reference to your D.O.No.479/p dated the 8th instant, I beg leave to state that I received the records referred to in your D.O. from Mr. Vijayadeva and appeared in O.S. 6 of 1929-30(Gundabhatta Vs Government) and Mis. Appeal No. 37 of 1929-30 (J.F. D'Souza Vs H.C.Jayarim which came off Yesterday In Gundabhatta's case arguments were addressed on the question of the applicability of Section 221 Clause(f)of the Mysore Land Revenue Code, and the case was posted for orders on the 20th instant. Mis appeal 37 of 29-30 has been adjourned to this day for arguments.

The signature of the Chief Secretary to the accompanying five vakalat forms may kindly be obtained and sent to me. They have to be filed in court to day

I have the honour to
Sir,

The public prosecutor,
Bangalore

The Legal Remembrancer
and Secretary to Governmen
Law Departmen

With reference to your Do no P 480 dated 8/11/32
I have the honour to state that the entire
records in the ten cases noted in the kish
have been handed over to Mr. H. Srinivasa
murthi, B.A., B.L., Advocate and the receipt
obtained In addition to the ten cases
OS 20/27-28 on the file of the District judge,
has also been transferred to the Additional
District court I request you to give
directions as to the conduct of the said case
which is posted to 5/12/1930 for evidence.

To

The Public Prosecutor 13 Encs

Sir,

In reply to your letter no 177/30-31 dated 11.11.30 requesting me to forward the transfer of O.S. 20 of 27-28 Cases that are transferred to the Addl Dist. Court, Bhore, I am directed to refer you to G.O.No: 2643-52/Law 65-30-2 dated 11th Nov 1930, according to which records connected with O.S. 20/27-28 the further conduct which is also transferred to the Addl Dist. Court may kindly be has to be entrusted to Mr H. Srinivasa murthi, to whom the entire records of the case may therefore be handed over to Mr H Srinivasa

Copies are forwarding forwarded
to the D.C. Bijnor, in continuation
of This office letter No: P.7248/Law 67-27-4
dated 15th June 1928, with a request
that some intelligent officer may
be deputed to instruct the
Advocate on the date of hearing
viz 5-12-30.

The Public Prosecutor,

Bangalore.

Sir,

In reply to your letter No. 177/30-31 dated 11th November 1930 reporting the trans: of O.S. 20 of 27-28 to the Additional Dist. Court, Bangalore, I am to refer you to G.O. No. 2643-52/Law 65-20-2 dated 11th November 1930 according to which the further conduct of O.S. 20/27-28 has to be entrusted to Mr. H. Srinivasamurthi, to whom the entire records of the case may therefore be kindly handed over.

18/11/30
(Sd) by Mr. Mahadevappa.

I have etc.,

Legal Remembrancer and
Secy. to Govt. L.D.

Memo No P2839 18/11/30

Copy to Mr. H.Srinivasa Murthi for information and necessary action. The case is said to be posted to 5th December 1930 for evidence.

By Order,

For Legal Remembrancer.

Memo No. ~~P.2839~~ 2843 18.11.30

Copies of the above are forwarded with compliments to the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore, in continuation of this office letter No.P. 7248/Law 67-27-4 dated 15th June 1928, with a request that some intelligent officer may be deputed to instruct the Advocate on the date of hearing viz., 5-12-1930.

H. SRINIVASA MURTHY, B.A., LL.B.,
ADVOCATE.

CHICKPET, BANGALORE CITY.

Date 2nd Dec. 1930

To

The Legal Remembrancer
and
Secretary to Government,
Law Department,
BANGALORE.

Sir,

I have the honour to request that you may be so good as to let me know how the files relating to suits decided by the Additional District Judge, Bangalore and entrusted to me in pursuance of Government order No. P.2646-52 Law 65-30-2 dated 11th November 1930 may be disposed of by me.

I have the honour to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

H. Srinivasa Murthy

Advocate.

To

The Legal Remembrancer,

to the Government of Mysore,

Bangalore.

Sir,

I have the honour to inform you that Mis.No.8 of 28-29 on the file of the - District Judge, Bangalore, relating to the acquisition of S.No. 69 of Hosakere Village Bangalore Taluk for the purpose of treatment of Government Central Distillery Spent Wash etc., was dismissed with costs by the Additional District Judge, Bangalore on 25-11-1930. The result is that the award of the Land Acquisition Officer is confirmed.

I have the honour to b

Sir,

Your most obedient serv

A Srinivasamurti

Advocate.

H. SRINIVASA MURTHY, B.A., LL.B.,
ADVOCATE.

To

The Legal Remembrancer and Secretary
to Government, Law Department,
B A N G A L O R E.

Sir,

I have the honour to inform you that the appeal R.A. 354 of 29-30 filed by Mr. Niligiri Sanjeeviah against the Director of Public Instruction in Mysore to recove arrears of rent was argued yesterday the 1st instant and the case has been posted to the 12th instant for judgment.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

H. Srinivasa Murthy

A d v o c a t e.

To

Mr. H. Srinivasamurthy, B.A. LL.B.
Advocate.

Sir,

In reply to your letter of the 2nd Dec 1930 re: the disposal of the file relating to Certain Cases decided by the Additional District Court Judge, Bangalore I am directed to state that Certified Copies of the final orders and decrees passed in such cases have to be obtained and submitted to this office for the information of the Court, along with your bill

of fees for sanction of Govt ~~sanction to be pursued~~. The files in ~~The case records~~ ~~of those cases~~ question ~~case~~ may also be sent then ~~forward~~. ~~Therewith~~ for reference & disposal.

I have etc

Sd/- L. R.

TSP/pln

From

The public prosecutor
Bangalore

To The Legal Remembrancer and Secretary to Government, Law Department
Bangalore.

I have the honour to inform you that OS 3/18-19 (Chickangappa vs. Chief Secretary) and OS 10/27-18. (K Ananthraju vs. Chief Secretary & Excise Commissioner) have been transferred to the Additional District Court. I have handed over the records to Mr. H. Srinivasa murthi. B.A.B.L., Advocate. OS 3/18-19 is posted to 16/12/30 and OS 10/27-18 is posted to 18-12-1930

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

B. M. Deva
public prosecutor,
Bangalore

To

Mr. H. S. Murthy Advocate

Sir,

I am directed to request you to appear for Govt in O.S. 3/28-29 (Chickmagappa vs Ch-Sec^{y}) and O.S. 10/27-28 (Kr Anantaraju vs Ch. Sec^{y}) which have transferred to addl Dist. Ct and stand adjourned to 16.12.30 and 18.12.30 respectively. It is learnt that the records of these cases which have already been handed over to you by the

27

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

Dated, Bangalore, 15th Decr 1930.

From

The Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Law Department.

To

Mr. H.Srinivasa Murthy, B.A., LL.B
Advocate, Bangalore.

Sir.

I am directed to request you to appear for Government in O.S.3/28-29 (Chikmagalpa Vs Chief Secretary) and O.S.10/27-28 (K.V.Anantharaju Vs. Chief Secretary) which have been transferred to Additional District Court and stand adjourned to 16th December 1930 and 18th December 1930 respectively. It is learnt that the records of these cases have already been handed over to you by the Public Prosecutor Bangalore as per his letter 244/30-31 dated 13th December 1930.

V. Srinivasa Murthy
Advocate

Sir, O.S. 3 of 28-29 (Chikmagalur
vs Chepley)
O.S. 10 of 27-28 (Ananta Raju
vs Chepley)

I am to invite reference
to this office letter No: P3426/3246
dated 15th Dec 1930 regarding the
above cases in the Additional
Dt Ct Bangalore & to request
you to report as to what
happened to them on the
respective date of hearing

viz 16th & 18th instants to
which they were posted - Necessary
Vacalats to be filed in the cases
may be sent early for signature
of the chief Secy

I have &c

Hon

Forbes -

H.Srinivasa Murthy, Esq., B.A., LL.B.,
Advocate, Bangalore.

O.S.3 of 28-29 (Chiknagappa Vs.Chief Secretary)
O.S.10 of 27-28 (Anantharaju Vs. Chief Secretary

I am to invite reference to this office letter No. P. 3426 dated 15th December 1930 regarding the above cases in the Additional District Court Bangalore and to request you to report as to what happened to them on the respective dates of hearing viz., 16th and 18th instants to which they were posted. Necessary vakalats to be filed in the cases may be sent early for signature of the Chief Secretary.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

For Legal Remembrancer and Secy.to Gov

To

The Legal Remembrancer and Secretary to
Government of His Highness the Maharaja
of Mysore, Law Department.

BANGALORE.

Sir,

I have the honour to submit for your kind perusal a list of cases entrusted to me in persuance of Government Order No. P.2643-52 Law 65-30-2 dated the 11th November 1930. I have also noted briefly the progress that has been made in each case.

With reference to O.S. 3 of 28-29 filed by Chickka, Nagappa against the Government, I beg to state that, in regard to the subject matter of the present suit a criminal case had been launched by the District Forest - Officer of Tumkur and I defended Chikkanagappa The notice under Section 80 of the Civil Procedure Code was also issued by me. Consequently it would not be proper for me to appear against him now. I request that some other arrangement may be made for the conduct of the - case on behalf of the Government.

I have also submitted a bill showing the approximate amount of expenditure to be incurred for each case. The same may kindly be sanctioned.

I have the honour to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

Advocate.

List of Cases transferred from the Dist. Judge to the Additional Dist. Judge

Serial Number	Nature of the Case.	No. of the Case	Plaintiff	Defendant.	Date of hearing	Remarks
1.	Suit for declaration of ownership of the Chatram at Mugavala, Hoskote Taluk.	O.S. 2 27.28.	Kayanna alias Ananta Ramiah.	Chief Secretary (Muzrai Department)	12. 1. 31.	Plff. has examined 10 witnesses. In further evidence on behalf of plff. will be recorded
2.	Suit for recovery of Rs 4608/- due on a Regd. Kypo. Bond executed by the late Swami of Alasandi.	O.S. 9 28.29	Ramaguru murthaya.	Chief Secretary (Muzrai Dept)	8. 1. 31.	on the last date of hearing Plff. examined one witness. Case has been adjourned for further trial.
3.	Suit for declaration of ownership of Certain Govt. 7 p.c. Loan Bonds.	O.S. 22 29.30	Vishnu Narayan Takli	Chief Secretary (Accounts Dept)		on 24. 11. 30, I filed draft issues. Plff Contested that issues were unnecessary and that a decree should be passed immediately. After some adjournments Case was argued on 10. 12. 30. Orders reserved. No orders yet.
4.	For leave to sue as a pauper for Compensation for plff's sons death by electrocution	Misc 88 29.30	Kempaiya	Chief Secretary (Electrical Dept)	12. 1. 31.	The Case came up for trial on 11. 12. 31. As petitioner's Counsel stated that the petitioner was dangerously ill - Case has been adjourned to 12. 1. 31 for trial.
5.	For winding up of Subbanahalli Agricultural Bank.	Misc. 81 25. 26.	Subbanahalli Agricultural Bank.	Deputy Comm. Kolar	27. 1. 31	Has been adjourned for arguments on the maintainability of the petition.
6.	Appeal against the decree of the First Munsiff.	RA 254 29.30.	Nilgiri G. Sanjeeviah	Director Public Instruction.		Case was argued on 1-12-30. Judgment has not been delivered yet.
		Misc. Appeal 37 29.30	J. F. D'Souza.	Mr. H. C. Javariah.	3. 12. 30.	Appeal was dismissed. Original Case no 133/2 restored to file by the First Munsiff & posted to 4-2-31 for filing defence.
	Land Acquisition Case.	Misc. 8	Narasa Hanumiah	L. A. O. Bangalore Sub Division	25-11-30.	Claim for enhanced ~~Comment~~ of Compensation

Suit for recovery of deposit & Compensation re lease of Ganja & opium shops for 25-26 in Bangalore city.	O.S. 10 / 27-28	Lt. Ananta Raju	Chief Secretary (Excise Dept).	27-1-31.	Has been adjourned for evidence on behalf of the Plaintiff
Suit for Compensation	O.S. 3 / 28.29.	Chikkanagappa	Chief Secretary (Forest dept).	30-1-31.	adjourned for evidence on behalf of the plaintiff.
Suit for declaration of ownership to S.No. 60 of Banavasi village in Magadi Taluk.	O.S. 6 / 29.30	Gundabhatta.	Chief Secretary (Rev. dept).		Case was argued re the maintainability of the suit on 20-11-30. Judgment not delivered yet.
Suit for injunction.	O.S. 20 / 27.28	Venkoji Rao	(Rev dept)		Case argued on 16.12.30. Judgment not delivered yet.

1. O.S. 2 of 27-28.	Rajanna Vs Government (muzrai) to obtain copies of depositions of witnesses. 10 have been examined so far and the plaintiff proposes to examine about 15 witnesses more.	Rs.	50	0	0
2. O.S.9 of 28-29.	Ramagurumurthaya Vs Govt. (Muz.) to obtain copies of depositions and summon witnesses etc.	"	30	0	0
3. O.S. 6 of 29-20	Gundabhatta Vs Government(Revenue) to obtain copy of judgment and decree.		5	0	0
4. O.S. 20 of 27-28	Venkoji Rao Vs. Government (Revenue) to obtain copy of judgment and decree etc.,		5	0	0
5. Mis.Appl No.37 of 1929-30	D'Souza Vs Mr. H.C. Javariah Charges for vakalat, copy of judgment.		3	0	0
6. R.A. 354 of 29-30	N.G. Sanjeevian Vs Director of Public Instruction. Charges for Vakalat, copy of judgment and decree.		7	0	0
7. O.S. 22 of 29-30	Takle Vs Government (Accounts) Vakalat charges copy of order etc.,		4	0	0
8. Mis.88 of 29-30	Kempaya Vs. Government (Electrical Department) For vakalat and deposition of witnesses.		5	0	0
9. Mis 81 of 25-26	Sabbana halli Agricultural Bank Vs- Deputy Commissioner of Kolar For Vakalat, Deposition and order copies.		5	0	0
10. Mis 8 of 28-29	Narasa Hanumiah and others-Vs-Land Acquisition of Bangalore Sub Division. For Vakalat and copies of judgment and decree.		3	0	0
11.Mis 127 of 28-29	Gubbanna Vs- Land Acquisiti Officer Tumkur. For Vakalat Deposition copies etc.		25	0	0

Mr. H.Srinivasamurthy
Advocate, Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter dated 3rd January 1931 expressing your disability to conduct C.S.3 of 28-29 (Chiknagappa Vs Chief Secretary) on the file of the Additional Dt. Court Bangalore, I am to state that in the circumstances, the further conduct of the case may be left in the hands of the Public Prosecutor Bangalore. The records of the case may be handed over to him for necessary action.

I have etc.,

Chief Secy. to Govt.

Memo No.P. 4016 dt 9/1/31

Copy to Public Prosecutor, Bangalore for information.

By Order,

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No. 4015 Law

Dated, Bangalore, 8th January 1931.

From

Chief
The Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
~~Law Department.~~

To

Mr. H.Srinivasamurthy, B.A., LL.B.,
Advocate, Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter dated 3rd January 1931 expressing your disability to conduct O.S.3 of 28-29 (Chiknagappa Vs Chief Secretary) on the file of the Additional District Court Bangalore, I am to state that in the circumstances, the further conduct of the case may be left in the hands of the Public Prosecutor, Bangalore. The records of the case may be handed over to him for necessary action.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Sd/- N.Madhava Rao.
For Chief Secretary to Government.

Memo No.P. 4016 dt 9/1/31

Copy of the above is forwarded to the Public Prosecutor, Bangalore for information.

By Order,

For Chief Secy. to Govt.

SUBJECT...

.....

.....

Section

File No. 65-30

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Serial No.

No. 4251

General and Revenue Secretariat

the *193*

To

M. Srinivasa murti Esq
Bar-at-Law
Advocate

Date of issue 16/1/31

Signed by M. Nair

Sir,

With reference to your letter of 3rd Jan 1931, Submitting a bill showing the approximate ~~Costs~~ amounts required by you for ~~incidental~~ expenditure to be incurred in the conduct of the several cases entrusted to you under the G.O No P2643-31-Law 65-30-2 dated 11th Nov 1930 I am directed to state that under rule 130 of the Rules for

the Conduct of Legal Affairs of Government, it is the departments Concerned that have to meet such expenditure from out of their budget grants.

The Officers Concerned who are ~~deputed~~ under the G.O of 11th Novr 1930 instructing you in the Conduct of the Cases, may therefore be written to in the matter and the necessary amounts required by you for expenses may be obtained from them as an advance Subject to proper accounts being furnished after the disposal

From

The Chief Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Law Department.

To

H.Srinivasa Murthi, Esq., B.A., LL.B.,
Advocate, B A N G A L O R E.

Sir,

With reference to your letter of 3rd January 1931, submitti a bill showing the approximate amounts required by you for -- expenditure to be incurred in the conduct of the several cases entrusted to you under Government Order No.P. 2643-52/Law 65-30 -2 dated 11th November 1930, I am directed to state that under rule 130 of the Rules for the conduct of Legal Affairs of Govern ment, it is the departments concerned that have to meet such expenditure from out of their budget grants.

The officers concerned who are under the Government Order of 11th November 1930 instructing you in the conduct of the cases, may therefore be written to in the matter and the neces- sary amounts required by you for expenses may be obtained from them as an advance subject to proper accounts being furnished after the disposal of the said case.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For Chief Secretary to Government.

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section

File No.

Serial No

No. (7784)

To

Mr. H. Sreenivasa Murthy, B.A., LL.B.,
Advocate

Sir,

With reference to your letter dated 10th June 1931 submitting the progress report entrusted to you under G.O.No. of, of several Cases, I am directed to point out that the Copy of the Judgment and decree in O.S. 6 of 29-30 on the file of the addl Dist. Judge Bangalore (Gundabhatta vs Govt) stated to have been appended, is not received, and to request that the same may kindly

Copy of letter dated 29th June 1931 from the Public Prosecutor, Bangalore, to the Chief Secretary to Government of Mysore, Bangalore.

I have the honour to send herewith my bills in the following two cases which are disposed by the Additional District Judge, Bangalore. I request that arrangements may kindly be made to pay the bill amounts at an early date.

O.S.20/27-28, on the file of the District Judge, Bangalore - Venkoji Rao vs. Chief Secretary and another.- In this case, I have studied the records and drafted the written statement and filed the same in court. Issues were framed and the case was posted for evidence. I have claimed Rs.50/- which is very moderate.

Mis. 81/25-26 on the file of the District Judge, Bangalore.-(Sabbanahalli Agricultural Bank vs. Deputy Commissioner, Kolar.) I have studied the records and attended the court. I have claimed Rs.50/-.

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

Date of issue 1/7/31

Signed by Mr Nag

Appeal no. 37 of 1929-30.
no. 8 of 1928-29
A - no. 354 of 1929-30
. 6 of 1929-30
S 81 of 1925-26.
S. 20 of 29-20

Add! Dist. Court Bangalore

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No. 120

To

The PPr. Bangalore

Sir,

I am directed to state that Mr. H. Sreenivasamurthy Advocate, Bangalore who was entrusted under G.O. no. P. 2643-52 Law 65-30-2 dated 11th Nov 1930. with the Conduct of Certain Cases in the Addl Dt. C.J. has submitted for Sanction bills for service rendered by him in the marginally noted Cases and to invite reference in this Connection to this office letter no. P. 3932 dated 7th Jan 1931 returning your bills in some of these Cases for being resubmitted after the C... are finally disposed of

The Public Prosecutor,

Bangalore.

I am directed to state that Mr.

Bangalore who was entrusted under Government Order No.P. 2045-52 Law 65-30-2 dated 11th November 1930 with the conduct of certain cases in the Additional District Court has submitted for sanction bills for services rendered by him in the marginally noted

* 1.Mis Appeal No. 37 of 1929-30 2.Mis No. 8 of 1928-29 3.R.A.No. 354 of 1929-30 4.O.S. 6 of 1929-30 5.Mis 81 of 1925-26 6.O.S. 20 of 27-28.	Additional District Court Bangalore.	cases and to invite reference

in this connection to this office letter No.P. 3932 dated 7th January 1931 returning your bills in some of these cases for being resubmitted after the cases are finally disposed of.